IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CHANCERY DIVISION

ESLANDA BERTASIUTE, individually and on behalf of himself and all others similarly	Case No.: 2020-CH-07055
situated,	Calendar 11
Plaintiff,	Courtroom 2305
V.	Hon. Pamela McLean Meyerson
THE HARI GROUP, INC., and ORLAND PARK DELI, INC. d/b/a MCALISTER'S OF ORLAND PARK,	

Defendants.

ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, CERTIFYING SETTLEMENT CLASS, APPOINTING CLASS REPRESENTATIVE, <u>APPOINTING CLASS COUNSEL, AND APPROVING NOTICE PLAN</u>

This matter coming before the Court on Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for and Memorandum in Support of Preliminary Approval of Revised Class Action Settlement, good cause being shown and the Court being fully advised in the premises, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, DECREED, AND ADJUDGED AS FOLLOWS:

1. Terms and phrases in this Order shall have the same meaning as ascribed to them in the Settlement Agreement.

2. Plaintiff has moved the Court for an order preliminarily approving the settlement of the Action in accordance with the Settlement Agreement, which, together with the documents incorporated therein, sets forth the terms and conditions for a proposed settlement and dismissal of the Action with prejudice. The Court having read and considered the Settlement Agreement and having heard the parties being fully advised in the premises, hereby preliminarily approves the Settlement Agreement in its entirety subject to the Final Approval Hearing referred to in this

Order, certifies the Settlement Class defined below, appoints Class Counsel and the Class Representative, and approves of the Notice plan.

CERTIFICATION OF THE SETTLEMENT CLASS

3. For purposes of settlement only, the Court certifies the following Settlement Class as defined in the Settlement Agreement:

All individuals in the State of Illinois who used timekeeping technology provided by Defendant that utilized a scan of individuals' hands and/or fingers or anything that allegedly could be considered as biometric identifiers or biometric information under the Illinois Biometric Information Privacy Act in the State of Illinois from December 3, 2015 to the date of preliminary approval who do not timely exclude themselves from the settlement.

Excluded from the Class are (a) persons who executed a settlement agreement and release with Defendant releasing and/or waiving their BIPA claims; (b) any Judge or Magistrate presiding over this action and members of their families; (c) Defendant, Defendant's subsidiaries, parent's companies, successors, predecessors, and any entity in which Defendant or its parents have a controlling interest; (d) persons who properly execute and file a timely request for exclusion from the Settlement Class; and (e) the legal representatives, successors, heirs, or assignees of any such excluded persons.

4. The Court finds, subject to the Final Approval Hearing referred to below, that the Settlement Agreement is fundamentally fair, adequate, and reasonable, and, for the purposes of settlement only, that the Settlement Class satisfies the requirements of 735 ILCS 5/2-801 to 807, specifically that: the Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; there are questions of common fact and law common to the class (*e.g.*, whether

Defendant collected, captured, or otherwise obtained Plaintiff's and the Settlement Class's biometric identifiers or information, as defined by 740 ILCS 14/10; whether Defendant properly informed Plaintiff and the Settlement Class of its purposes for collecting, using, and storing their biometric information, 740 ILCS 14/15(b); whether Defendant obtained any written releases to collect, use, and store Plaintiff's and the Settlement Class's biometric information, *id.*; and whether Defendant developed a written policy, made available to the public, establishing a retention schedule and guidelines for permanently destroying biometric information, 740 ILCS 14/15(a)); which predominate over any questions affecting only the individual members; Class Counsel and the Class Representative will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the Settlement Class; and a class action is an appropriate method for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF THE SETTLEMENT

5. For purposes of settlement only: (a) J. Dominick Larry of Nick Larry Law LLC and Thomas R. Kayes of the Civil Rights Group, LLC are appointed Class Counsel for the Settlement Class; and (b) Eslanda Bertasiute is named Class Representative of the Settlement Class. The Court finds that these attorneys are competent and capable of exercising the responsibilities of Class Counsel and that Plaintiff Bertasiute will adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class defined above.

6. The Court finds that, subject to the Final Approval Hearing, the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, is likely to be approved under 735 ILCS 5/2-801 to 807, and is in the best interests of the Settlement Class set forth above. The Court further finds that the Settlement Agreement substantially fulfills the purposes and objectives of the class action, and provides substantial relief to the Settlement Class without the risks, burdens, costs, or

delay associated with continued litigation, trial, and/or appeal. The Court also finds that the Settlement Agreement (a) is the result of arm's-length negotiations between experienced classaction attorneys; (b) is sufficient to warrant notice of the settlement and the Final Approval Hearing to be disseminated to the Settlement Class; (c) meets all applicable requirements of law, including 735 ILCS 5/2-801 to 807; and (d) is not a finding or admission of liability by the Defendant or any other parties.

NOTICE AND ADMINISTRATION

7. The Court approves, as to form, content, and distribution, the Notice plan and all forms of Notice to the Settlement Class as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Exhibits A and B thereto, and finds that such Notice is the best practicable under the circumstances, and that the Notice complies fully with the requirements of Illinois law. The Court also finds that the Notice constitutes valid, due, and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto, and meets the requirements of Due Process. The Court further finds that the Notice is reasonably calculated, under all circumstances, to apprise members of the Settlement Class of the pendency of this Action, the terms of the Settlement Agreement, and the right to object to the settlement and to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class. The Parties, by agreement, may revise the Notice in ways that are not material, or in ways that are appropriate to update those documents for purposes of accuracy or formatting for publication.

8. The Court approves the request for the appointment of Analytics Consulting as Settlement Administrator under the Settlement Agreement.

9. Pursuant to Paragraph 4.1 of the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement Administrator is directed to publish the Notice on the Settlement Website and to send direct notice via U.S. Mail in accordance with the Notice Plan called for by the Settlement Agreement.

The Settlement Administrator shall also maintain the Settlement Website to provide full information about the Settlement online.

EXCLUSION

10. Members of the Settlement Class who wish to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class may do so if, on or before the Objection/Exclusion Deadline of September 27, 2022, they comply with the exclusion procedures set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Notice. Any members of the Settlement Class so excluded shall neither be bound by the terms of the Settlement Agreement nor entitled to any of its benefits.

11. To be valid, any request for exclusion must (a) be in writing; (b) identify the case name *Bertasiute v. Orland Park Deli, Inc. d/b/a McAlister's of Orland Park,* Case No. 2020-CH-07055; (c) state the full name and current address of the person in the Settlement Class seeking exclusion; (d) be signed by the person(s) seeking exclusion or their authorized representative; and (e) be postmarked or received by the Settlement Administrator on or before the Objection/Exclusion Deadline. The Settlement Administrator shall create a dedicated email address to receive exclusion requests electronically. Each request for exclusion must also contain a statement to the effect that "I hereby request to be excluded from the proposed Settlement Class in *Bertasiute v. Orland Park Deli, Inc. d/b/a McAlister's of Orland Park*, Case No. 2020-CH-07055." A request for exclusion that does not include all of the foregoing information, that is sent to an email address other than that designated in the Notice, or that is not postmarked or delivered to the Settlement Administrator within the time specified, shall be invalid and the persons serving such a request shall be deemed to remain Settlement Class Members and shall be bound as Settlement Class Members by the Settlement Agreement, if approved.

OBJECTIONS

12. Any Settlement Class Members who have not timely filed a request for exclusion may object to the fairness, reasonableness, or adequacy of the Settlement Agreement, or to a Final Judgment being entered dismissing the Action with prejudice in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, or to the attorneys' fees and expense reimbursement sought by Class Counsel, or to the requested incentive award to the Class Representative as set forth in the Notice and Settlement Agreement. On or before September 13, 2022, papers supporting the Fee Award shall be filed with the Court and posted to the Settlement Website. Settlement Class Members may object on their own or may do so through separate counsel at their own expense.

13. To object, Settlement Class Members must sign and file a written objection on or before the Objection/Exclusion Deadline of September 27, 2022. To be valid, the written objection must comply with the objection procedures set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Notice and must include (a) the Settlement Class Member's full name and current address; (b) a statement that he, she, or they believe themselves to be a member of the Settlement Class; (c) the specific grounds for the objection; (d) all documents or writings that the Settlement Class Member desires the Court to consider; (e) the name and contact information of any and all attorneys representing, advising, or in any way assisting the objector in connection with the preparation or submission of the objection or who may profit from the pursuit of the objection; and (f) a statement indicating whether the objector intends to appear at the Final Approval Hearing (either personally or through counsel who must file an appearance with the Court in accordance with the Court's rules).

14. To be valid, objections must be filed with the Court and postmarked, emailed, or delivered to Class Counsel (J. Dominick Larry, Nick Larry Law LLC, 1720 W. Division St.,

Chicago, Illinois 60622, nick@nicklarry.law) and to Defendant's counsel (Rosa M. Tumialán, Tressler LLP, 233 South Wacker Drive, 61st Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60606, rtumialan@tresslerllp.com) on or before the Objection/Exclusion Deadline. In addition, any objections made by a Settlement Class Member who is represented by counsel must be filed through an approved e-filing vendor.

15. Settlement Class Members who fail to file and timely serve written objections in compliance with the requirements above and the Settlement Agreement shall be deemed to have waived any objections and shall be foreclosed from making any objections (whether by appeal or otherwise) to the Settlement Agreement.

16. The Final Approval Hearing shall be held before this Court via Zoom at 2 p.m. on October 20, 2022 to determine (a) whether the proposed settlement of the Action on the terms and conditions provided for in the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, adequate and should be given final approval by the Court; (b) whether a judgment and order of dismissal with prejudice should be entered; (c) whether to approve the payment of attorneys' fees and expenses to Class Counsel; and (d) whether to approve the payment of an incentive award to the Class Representative. The Court may adjourn the Final Approval Hearing without further notice to members of the Settlement Class. The required information to join the Zoom hearing is as follows:

Link:<u>https://circuitcourtofcookcounty.zoom.us/j/92896632736?pwd=cS9PaEQyOFFka0</u> Fwdjd3NXgyd253UT09;

Meeting ID: 928 9663 2736;

Password: 813107;

IF A PARTY IS UNABLE TO SIGN ON WITH A COMPUTER OR CELL

PHONE, a party may also dial in to the hearing by calling <u>**312-626-6799**</u>. Then, when prompted, enter the Zoom Meeting ID (**928 9663 2736**), and follow prompts as appropriate.

17. Class Counsel shall file papers in support of their requested Fee Award and the Class Representative's incentive award (collectively, the "Fee Petition") with the Court on or before September 13, 2022. Defendant may, but is not required to, file a response to Class Counsel's Fee Petition with the Court on or before September 27, 2022. Class Counsel may file a reply in support of their Fee Petition with the Court one week later.

18. Plaintiff shall file her papers in support of final approval of the Settlement Agreement, and in response to any objections, with the Court on or before October 7, 2022. Plaintiff's motion for final approval shall include copies of all opt-outs and objections, and all communications to or from class members relating to the same.

FURTHER MATTERS

19. If the Settlement Agreement fails to become effective, is overturned no appeal, or does not become final for any reason, the parties shall be restored to their respective positions in the Action as of the date of the signing of the Settlement Agreement.

IT IS SO ORDERED, this 27th day of June, 2022.

Judge Pamela McLes Meyerson

JUN 27 2022 ENTERED Circuit Court-2097

Hon. Pamela McLean Meyerson

Prepared By:

J. Dominick Larry

NICK LARRY LAW LLC (Firm ID: 64846) 1720 W. Division St. Chicago, IL 60622 T: 773.694.4669 nick@nicklarry.law

Attorney for Plaintiff and the Class